ISSN 2454-5554
An International Peer Reviewed & Referred
SJIF 2017 : 4.93
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
OF EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH STUDIES
IJERS

The Significance of Dr. B. R. Ambedkar's Economic Thought in Contemporary Economy and Society-Building

Prof. (Dr.) Chandra Prakash Singh

Former Head, Dept. of History & Professor-In-Charge Dept. of Education B.N. Mandal University, Madhepura

Abstract

Dr. Bhimrao Ramji Ambedkar was one of the great minds in Indian social, political and economic philosophy. A special element of his economic thought was the study of the economy within the framework of social structure, equality, justice and democratic institutions. His economic theory spanned a wide range of themes from currency and public finance to agriculture, land relations, industrialisation, labour, property, economic inequality, and the role of the state. His books, such as The Problem of the Ruppee, The Evolution of Provincial Finance in British India, Small Holdings in India and Their Remedies, States and Minorities, etc., reveal different aspects of his economic outlook. According to Ambedkar economic development should not be judged only by the increase in production, income or national wealth but also by the extent to which economic opportunities, resources and security are made available to different sections of the people. The present study is an attempt to critically examine the significance of Ambedkar's economic theories within the framework of modern Indian economy and society construction. The study looks back at his ideas in regard to themes such as socio-economic inequality, employment, workers' rights, agriculture, industrialisation, education, financial inclusion, economic power and economic democracy.

Keywords: *Economic Thought, Dr. B. R. Ambedkar, Economic Inequality, Social Justice, Economic Democracy, Public Finance, Labour, Agriculture, Inclusive Development.*

Introduction

The creation of modern India was not only a process of winning political freedom from colonial domination. With independence the necessity to create a socio-economic order in which citizens would have real opportunity to live with equal rights, developed. Problems like poverty, unemployment, discrimination on the basis of caste, social exclusion, unequal access to resources and economic insecurity were not just problems of economic policy, but also problems of social institutions and historical power connections. Dr. Bhimrao Ramji Ambedkar looked at the economic concerns in this larger context. For him, social and economic inequality were not independent or unrelated problems.

What distinguished Ambedkar's economic philosophy was his way of looking at the economy in relation to social institutions. Land, labour, capital, currency, public finance, education, markets and the function of the state were not separate subjects in his thinking but interrelated economic entities. Formal freedom in the market cannot lead to economic equality if a person's social standing affects prospects for education, job selection, property acquisition or employment. Thus, Ambedkar related economic issues with the broader themes of social justice and democracy.

His academic expertise also provided a firm basis for his economic outlook. In The Evolution of Provincial Finance in British India, he analysed the financial structure of colonial India and the fiscal interaction of central and provincial administrations. In The Problem of the Rupee he wrote about currency, exchange and monetary stability. In tiny Holdings in India and Their Remedies he dealt with agriculture and the challenges of tiny landholdings. Wider recommendations about economic rights, the nature of the state and the control of economic power were contained in States and Minorities. To restrict Ambedkar's economic ideas to the paradigm of Dalit emancipation would be to restrict his intellectual contribution. While opposition to caste was indeed a fundamental social concern of his ideas, he also recognised caste as a framework that affected economic life. Without understanding the influence of caste on occupational freedom, division of labour, property, education, employment and economic mobility, the social realities of the Indian economy cannot be comprehended. Recent research has also highlighted the persistent link between caste and access to economic opportunity.[1]

The theoretical foundation of Ambedkar's economic philosophy

Ambedkar's economic theory was rooted in the values of liberty, equality, social justice and human dignity. For him the economy was not only a technical system of production, exchange and consumption. Economic institutions are itself the product of and producer of social interactions and power structures. Thus, the effectiveness of an economic policy cannot be judged merely in terms of its productive outcomes. It is also vital to see what its impact is on the opportunities and living situations of different social groups.

---

[1] Deshpande, A., & Sharma, S. (2016). Disadvantage and discrimination in self-employment: Caste gaps in earnings in Indian small businesses. *Small Business Economics*, *46*, 325–346.

Ambedkar thought that one's economic status was not just a matter of his own abilities or efforts. Economic capacities are also developed by social environment, access to education, ownership of property, social position, freedom of occupation and institutional chances. When a person's chances in work and education are limited by birth or caste, it is hard for him to utilise his talent and productive potential to the full. This is why Ambedkar saw the caste system not just as a social hierarchy but also as a structure that influenced economic mobility.[2]

The nexus between caste and the economy is particularly crucial for understanding his philosophy. A hereditary occupational system may restrict the economic alternatives available to an individual and split employment into varying degrees of social standing. Its impacts are not just on employment or earnings, but also on access to property, capital, entrepreneurship, social networks and economic mobility. Also, recent study has shown that caste continues to be influential in social networks and in the distribution of economic possibilities even with the spread of markets.[3] Similarly, there has been research on economic differences among social groups in small firms that has shown the problem of unequal access to opportunities.

In this way social reform and economic transformation in Ambedkar's perspective seem to be mutually reinforcing processes. It is not enough to have equality at the legal level, if the social conditions still restrict individuals from enjoying their rights. Genuine equality means more opportunity for access to education, skills, jobs, capital and productive resources. Thus the idea of equal opportunity in his thought was not confined to formal rights; it was also bound up with the creation of social conditions in which individuals may realise their capacities.

Here is when the involvement of the state becomes important. Ambedkar believed that it was the duty of the state to do more than only preserve law and order. The state might lessen inequality through public education, social security, labour protection, growth of economic prospects and institutional safeguards for vulnerable populations that would not necessarily evaporate through regular market processes. In his opinion liberty is only relevant if citizens also have the capability to practise it.

Social Justice and Economic Development

---

[2] Rodrigues, V. (Ed.). (2002). *The essential writings of B. R. Ambedkar*. Oxford University Press.

[3] Mosse, D. (2020). The modernity of caste and the market economy. *Modern Asian Studies*, *54*(4), 1225–1271.

For Ambedkar, economic progress meant a great deal more than just increasing production and revenue. His concern was with the question of who gains from economic advancement and who stays on the margins of the development process. Economic growth is not a complete social progress if it is achieved with social inequities in the access to education, job, property and economic possibilities.

Fundamentally, social justice is embedded within this more expansive notion of economic prosperity. Increasing an economy's productive capacity is crucial; but it must be matched by expanding opportunities for residents. If a person's social background already limits their economic potential, formal freedom in the market does not convert into substantive equality. Ambedkar's approach thus brings to the fore the structure of opportunities and the allocation of resources.

In this way, education, employment, social security and economic engagement should be seen not just as supporting factors of growth but as elements in development. Social justice can give a basis for the extension of the fruits of economic progress and extending economic opportunity gives a realistic basis for social justice. The two processes therefore reinforce one another.

The current notion of inclusive development also seeks to diffuse the fruits of economic prosperity to wider sectors of society. There are additional dimensions to this discussion and one of them is Ambedkar's ideas which questioned not only outcomes but also the institutional structures that govern opportunities. If access to labour markets, education, property, capital and financial services is unequal due to social identity then the advantages of development might also be unequally distributed.

Ambedkar considers that progress should be assessed not just in terms of production and income but also in terms of quality of employment, social mobility, economic security and the extent of opportunities available. For him, the ultimate goal of development is to increase human capacities and the opportunities for people to live a life of dignity.

Rural Economy and Agriculture

Agricultural problems comprised an essential aspect of Ambedkar's economic theory. In India, throughout the colonial period, a substantial section of the people depended on agriculture. The agricultural sector was characterised by problems including low productivity, small and fragmented holdings, inadequate capital and productive resources. Ambedkar did not study these problems in isolation, but in terms of the larger structure of agricultural production.

In his book 'Small Holdings in India and Their Remedies' he studied the problem of small landholdings. He argued that land area alone was not a sufficient driver of agricultural output. Beyond land, access to cash, irrigation, technology, manpower and other productive inputs were crucial. However, even if a farmer had land, without modern equipment, investment or irrigation infrastructure, the productive potential of the land could not be completely realised. Agricultural reform, therefore, could not be confined to reorganising landholdings.

Land also has great social relevance in the rural economy. Land is a source of wealth and production, but also a source of social power and status in the rural communities. Thus, inequalities in access to land and productive resources can contribute to social as well as economic inequalities. The link between caste, land relations and rural work relations further complicates this issue (Kumar, 2020).

In contemporary India, agriculture technology and market systems have changed considerably, yet concerns such as economic insecurity of small and marginal farmers, agricultural expenses, finance, climate-related risks, market uncertainty, and rural employment remain relevant. A modern understanding of Ambedkar’s agricultural outlook would argue that agricultural policy should link increase in production with access to productive resources and economic security of farmers.

Agricultural productivity is affected by facilities such as irrigation, technology, quality agricultural inputs, institutional credit, storage, transportation, processing and market linkages. At the same time, the growth of non-farm jobs in rural regions can help reduce dependence on farming. Rural development is therefore better understood as the interaction between agriculture, industry, services and infrastructure.

The Industrialisation and Economic Transformation

Industrialisation can be regarded as an essential vehicle of structural transformation in Ambedkar’s economic philosophy. In an agricultural economy, a major part of the population may have its production constrained by the availability of land and productive resources. In these conditions, the industry and other non-agricultural sectors can offer possibilities to switch surplus labour to more productive activity.

Industrialisation isn’t about the amount of factories you have but what it does to the structure of the economy, how it raises productivity and how many new jobs it creates. The shift of employment from agriculture to industry and services can ease the strain on the rural economy and generate other sources of income. The process of industrialisation should therefore be seen as part of a wider process of economic transformation.

For Ambedkar, industrial growth could not be isolated from social justice. If economic power was also concentrated in the hands of a restricted number of people, then economic possibilities would remain unequally distributed despite increases in production. In States and Minorities, he proposed fundamental views on public control of some vital economic sectors and protection of economic rights.[4] The fundamental aim of these theories was to ensure that economic power was matched with greater societal objectives.

Today these principles should not be understood as only a call for state economics. Markets, private investment and entrepreneurship are now significant engines of economic progress. But public investment, good regulation, worker protection, competition and social welfare still matter. Public investment in education, skills, energy, transport and infrastructure can help raise the productive capability of the private sector.

Quality of employment is very crucial in evaluating modern industrialisation. An rise in production is not enough if employment is precarious, insecure and without enough social protection. So industrial development has to be viewed together with employment, salaries, skills, labour rights and social security. This strategy allows industrialisation to act as a means of greater socio-economic change.

Labour and Workers' Rights

In Ambedkar's economic theory, the topic of labour is closely linked to human dignity and social justice. Workers are citizens with rights, not simply resources in the industrial process. Thus wages, working hours, safety at work, labour welfare and social security are significant considerations of economic policy. Another key factor of inequality in the employment market is the social structure. Employment and pay chances can be affected by caste, social status and historical disadvantage. In this environment, legal equality alone may not be enough. The nature of work is shifting, too. Contract employment, informal work and platform-based employment are making traditional employer–employee relationships increasingly difficult. These types of work have introduced new job prospects but also problems around income insecurity, social protection and labour rights. Policy, from Ambedkar's labour-centric viewpoint, should not just focus on the number of jobs but on the quality of work. Worker-centred economic systems need skills development, social security, occupational health and safety, safeguards against discrimination and decent working conditions.

Money, Public Finance and the Economic Role of the State

[4] Ambedkar, B. R. (2014b). *States and minorities*. Gautam Book Center.

Dr. Bhimrao Ambedkar's economic theory was intimately linked with currency, public finance and the function of the state. His economic research was not limited to technical issues. He wanted to understand how economic institutions affect the incomes, purchasing power, possibilities, and economic security of citizens. Monetary stability mattered for him because price instability might damage real incomes, wages, savings and the purchasing power of households with limited incomes. But nowadays, improved economic inclusion may be achieved through more access to banking, formal credit, insurance and digital finance. But financial literacy and consumer protection are equally important.

The Evolution of Provincial Finance in British India studied the financial interaction between the central and provincial governments and the organization of revenue and spending within public finance. His approach points to the need to have enough financial resources that correspond to the administrative tasks. In contemporary fiscal federalism too, equal allocation of resources, public investment, openness and accountability are vital to deal with regional economic disparities.

Ambedkar wanted to limit the excessive concentration of economic power and to open real chances to the poor. In the present setting, the job of the state is not to abolish markets but to provide the institutional structures that are necessary for education, health-care, infrastructure, protection of labour, social security, financial inclusion and fair competition. Ambedkar's economic thinking gives a framework for attaining economic stability, equitable distribution of resources and social justice.

Education, Human Capital and Economic Inclusion

Ambedkar considered education as a vital instrument of social reform. Economically, education improves people's skills, employment prospects and social mobility. Similarly, if education is limited by social class, inequalities in economic prospects may also remain. The importance of education has increased even more in today's information economy. Work is beginning to change in nature due to technological change, digitalisation and artificial intelligence. In many cases, basic education is not enough. Higher education, vocational training, digital skills and lifetime learning possibilities are also important. Investment in human capital is not just a question of higher individual incomes. Higher education and skills can affect productivity, entrepreneurship and employment quality. Education, therefore, may be seen as a link between social welfare and economic progress. From Ambedkar's opinion, the most important thing about education is opportunity. If quality education is not accessible to economically weaker and socially disadvantaged people, then the new opportunities arising from economic progress may also be inaccessible to them. The similar question can be asked in relation to the expansion of digital education: access to technical equipment, to the internet and to digital skills must be sufficiently widespread.

The Idea of Economic Democracy

In Ambedkar's democratic theory political equality is to be understood in conjunction with social and economic equality. Voting is a fundamental right, but given that there are stark disparities in education, work, property and economic stability, the exercise of political rights can also be skewed by unequal conditions. Economic democracy does not imply that all citizens should have the same income or property. In the broadest sense it is an arrangement where all individuals have equal possibilities for a life of dignity, economic security and the development of their capacities. To this end, access to education, work, financial services and social security is crucial.

Ambedkar's vision of economic democracy may be interpreted in three main ways. First, there is equality of opportunity. The second is minimum economic security. Third, to prevent excessive concentration of economic power. If economic resources and decision-making power are too concentrated in a few groups, the social foundations of democratic institutions may get eroded. In this sense, the idea of "one person, one vote" and "one person, one value" is relevant.[5] While political systems treat individual votes as equal, substantial social and economic inequalities can generate inequities in the real power of citizens to exert influence. Thus, for stability of democracy, not only the political rights, but also the social and economic opportunities need to be enlarged. The concept of economic democracy has gained new dimensions in the modern digital economy. Data, technology, digital capital and network effects are emerging as new sources of economic power. Thus, the discussion on economic democracy has been expanded beyond the classic property to technology resources and digital opportunities.

Economic empowerment of women

Women's economic independence can be seen as an important facet of Ambedkar's vision of equality and social justice. The status of women is not just a question of family and social life, but also a question of the use of human potential in the economy. The same applies to women's participation in economic life, which is hampered by limited access to education, property, employment, safe workplaces and economic decision-making.

Education boosts women's employability and capabilities to take economic decisions. Equal rights in property and inheritance can improve economic security and decision-making in

[5] Rodrigues, V. (Ed.). (2002). *The essential writings of B. R. Ambedkar*. Oxford University Press.

families. Equal importance is attached to secure employment, fair salary and social security as pillars of women's economic freedom. Contemporary economy brings new chances for women, as well as new problems. Digital entrepreneurship, online services and flexible kinds of labour might open up new pathways for participation, but unequal access to digital skills and technology resources may also create new hurdles. In informal and temporary jobs, issues of social security and safety at work are particularly relevant.

Women's economic involvement has implications beyond individual income. Income and economic decision-making power also influence family health, education, and economic security. Thus, women's economic empowerment should be seen not only from the perspective of social justice but also as a matter of human capital and economic development.

Social Security and Welfare State

Economic freedom is only relevant if people have some basic capacity to endure economic shocks. Unemployment, illness, old age and other unforeseen events can have a large impact on the economic status of individuals and families. Hence, social security must be considered not as a mere relief or welfare system but as the foundation of economic stability and human dignity.

Ambedkar's conception of social justice was founded on institutional safeguards to socially disadvantaged groups. But the modern concept of the welfare state also includes education, health care, labour protection and social security in public policy. Social security in present day India has been further exacerbated by informal and transitory work. Access to healthcare, old-age protection and financial stability are especially important for persons who are not in stable employment. Social security must therefore be placed within a broader and more fluid framework, rather than one anchored to traditional job relationships. A welfare state should aim at more than cash transfers. Investing in education, health care, skills and jobs can increase people's capacities over the long-run. Welfare and production are not always adversarial, they might be complementary, from this point of view.

Economic Citizenship and Financial Inclusion

Access to financial services is a key building element of participation in the modern economy. Bank accounts, formal credit, savings, insurance and digital payments connect the people to the formal economy. Where economic or societal considerations are barriers to some persons from these services, they may also be limited in their participation in economic potential. Since Ambedkar's concern is about access to economic opportunities, financial inclusion can be understood as an important dimension of economic citizenship. Connecting with established financial institutions creates greater opportunities for saving, investing and starting a business. Institutional lending is often crucial for small firms, for rural households and for the economically vulnerable groups. But just creating a bank account is not enough. Financial literacy, consumer protection, digital security and access to funding on suitable terms are also vital.

Wealth Concentration and Economic Inequality

Ambedkar has joined economic disparities with social justice and democracy. But the problem is not just inequality of income and wealth. Economic resources, too, are important. When ownership or control of property, capital and the means of production is concentrated in the hands of a few groups, economic power can penetrate into social and political life. But economic power is more than just wealth. The accumulation of opportunities is also affected by access to cash, education, technology, social networks and marketplaces. Groups having better access to these resources may be better positioned to take advantage of new economic opportunities. Resource-weak groups may be limited in their ability to participate in the development process.

New forms of economic concentration have arisen in the modern digital economy. Some institutions may have great market influence by virtue of data, technological infrastructure, intellectual property and network effects. This increases the importance of competition, the role of smaller enterprises and the regulation of market power. Addressing economic inequality isn't just about redistributing income. It is also essential to expand access to education, skills, property, financial services and entrepreneurship. More chance to participate in the development and use of economic resources can increase social mobility.

Ambedkar's View on Inclusive Development

The task of linking Ambedkar's economic philosophy to the idea of inclusive development is to look at the groups that are allowed to access the advantages of economic progress. Inclusion is not only a matter of designing aid programs for the poor; it is also about building economic structures through which different social groups can take part in production, employment, entrepreneurship and markets. Inclusive development requires investment in basic capacities like education and health. These include access to excellent employment, social security, financial services and entrepreneurial prospects. If economic development is focused in a few highly productive sectors, the benefits may not be extended to the wider segments of society.

Economic prospects stemming from social background were for Ambedkar a key concern. Hence, inclusive growth requires institutional structures that reduce social prejudice. Equality of opportunity is not about giving everyone the same thing, but about recognising structural impediments that systematically disadvantage certain groups and working to remove them. In the debate on inclusive development, rural areas, women, workers and socially disadvantaged groups are of particular importance. The modern digital economy has created opportunities, but disparities in digital access and abilities may also generate new forms of inequality. Hence, social inclusion has to go hand in hand with technological advancement.

Globalisation and Ambedkar's Economic Thinking

Globalisation has helped to link Indian economy with foreign trade, investment, technology and global manufacturing chains. This has opened up markets and technology opportunities, but has also raised concerns about competitiveness, job security and the future of domestic sectors, small producers and workers. In Ambedkar's works there are no evident policy suggestions for the present globalisation as the globalisation that we have today was not there in his age. Nevertheless, his economic concepts provide a basis for evaluating the social consequences of global economic unification. Ambedkar closely associated the importance of an economic system with social fairness, opportunity and human dignity. Thus, globalisation can be assessed not only in terms of trade and capital movement but also in terms of their distributive implications.

The global economy offers new prospects for highly skilled personnel and technology-enabled companies. But it may also mean increased competition, and fewer stable salaries for low-skilled and informal employment. Hence, education and skill development are necessary to enhance access to globalisation benefits. Access to cash, technology, markets and infrastructure is very important for small and medium enterprises. Public institutional support should not be directed at eliminating competition, but at raising the productive capacity of comparatively disadvantaged producers to enable them to compete more effectively in the market.

Ambedkar and the Modern Welfare State

When Ambedkar's economic philosophy is linked with the modern idea of welfare state, a wider perspective of the role of the state becomes available. The welfare state aims not only to give economic support but also to foster conditions that enable residents to develop their skills by providing access to education, health care, social security and economic possibilities. For Ambedkar, social justice cannot be only the giving of rights at the legal level. Also, social and economic conditions must enable people to enjoy their rights successfully. This is why public education, worker protection, social security and the extension of economic prospects are vital in his worldview.

The greatest problem for the modern welfare state is to maintain the right balance between the state and the market. Markets can be an engine of investment and innovation but the provision of education, health, social security and some public goods cannot be left to market forces alone. The other side is too much administrative oversight which might impact economic dynamism. The role of the state should consequently be concentrated on the public interest, equality of opportunity and effective regulation. Welfare measures also have to be tied to productive potential. Spending on education and skills builds human capital; spending on health affects labour productivity; and social security can help to sustain household stability in times of economic distress. In this sense the welfare state is not necessarily an alternative to economic development but rather might be an institutional structure reinforcing its social underpinnings.

Summary and Conclusions

A close analysis of Ambedkar's economic theory suggests that his ideas cannot be grasped in isolation, by looking into several economic domains. His analysis of currency is related to financial stability, his study of public finance to the institutional structure of the state, his analysis of agriculture to rural productivity and the distribution of resources, the question of industrialisation to employment and structural transformation, labour to social justice and human dignity, and economic democracy to the social foundations of political equality. A recurrent question in his theory is the extent to which an economic system gives individuals meaningful chances. A citizen may have legal rights but if they have no effective access to education, job, property, capital or social security their freedom may still be limited. This is why Ambedkar assesses economic systems in terms of their social repercussions.

The economic philosophy of Dr. Bhimrao Ramji Ambedkar gives an in-depth view of connecting the economy with social justice, equitable opportunity and human dignity. His views on currency, public finance, agriculture, industrialisation, labour, property and the role of the state show a similar concern: the construction of an economic system in which the opportunities and rewards of progress reach all sectors of society. For Ambedkar, economic progress was not only about increasing production and revenue. Development was also about education, work, economic security, social engagement and equal access to resources. He also studied the relationship between caste and economic structure, and this contributes to an understanding of how social inequality might organise access to economic opportunity. Globalisation, digitalisation, technological development and changing labour markets have created more economic opportunities in contemporary India, but inequality, employment security, education, agriculture, financial access and social security are major issues. Thus, the present understanding of Ambedkar's basic principles is more significant than the direct reproduction of his historical policy suggestions.

Equal opportunity, social justice, economic security, dignity of labour, human capability, economic involvement and balance in economic power are the key characteristics of his economic thinking which can be interpreted in new situations under present conditions. Viewed from this vantage point, Ambedkar's economic philosophy is not only a historical contribution to economic discourse but also an important conceptual framework for thinking about the social direction of growth and its equitable effects. His viewpoint in the end, highlights the fact that the durability of political democracy is tightly intertwined with the foundations of social and economic democracy. Economic progress takes on a more significant dimension when it increases the possibilities for citizens, lowers social obstacles and permits every person to participate in economic life with dignity.

References

Ambedkar (2014a), *Annihilation of Caste*; Deshpande and Sharma (2016), *Small Business Economics*; Mosse (2020), *Modern Asian Studies*; Rodrigues (2002), *The Essential Writings of B. R. Ambedkar*.

Ambedkar, B. R. (2014c). *Small holdings in India and their remedies*. Gautam Book Center.

Ambedkar, B. R. (1923). *The problem of the rupee: Its origin and its solution*. P. S. King & Son.

Ambedkar, B. R. (1925). *The evolution of provincial finance in British India: A study in the provincial decentralization of imperial finance*. P. S. King & Son.

Ambedkar, B. R. (1989). *Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar: Writings and speeches* (V. Moon, Ed.; Vol. 6). Education Department, Government of Maharashtra.

Ambedkar, B. R. (1990). *Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar: Writings and speeches* (V. Moon, Ed.; Vol. 1). Education Department, Government of Maharashtra.

Ambedkar, B. R. (2014a). *Annihilation of caste*. Navayana Publishing.

Ambedkar, B. R. (2014b). *Small holdings in India and their remedies*. In *Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar: Writings and speeches*. Government of Maharashtra.

Banerjee, B., & Knight, J. B. (1985). Caste discrimination in the Indian urban labour market. *Journal of Development Economics, 17*(3), 277–307. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3878(85)90010-2

Bera, M., Dubey, A., & Malhotra, S. (2025). Revisiting earnings differentials across socio-religious groups in the regular salaried employment in India. *The Indian Economic Journal, 73*(4), 751–768. https://doi.org/10.1177/00194662231201209

Goel, D., & Deshpande, A. (2020). Social identity and perceived income adequacy. *Review of Development Economics, 24*(2), 1–23. https://doi.org/10.1111/rode.12646

Government of India. (n.d.). *Dr. B. R. Ambedkar: Writings and speeches of Babasaheb Dr. B. R. Ambedkar*. Dr. Ambedkar Foundation.

Jaffrelot, C. (2005). *Dr. Ambedkar and untouchability: Analysing and fighting caste*. Columbia University Press.

Kumar, A. (2020). B. R. Ambedkar on caste and land relations in India. *Review of Agrarian Studies, 10*(1), 37–56.

Madheswaran, S., & Attewell, P. (2007). Caste discrimination in the Indian urban labour market: Evidence from the National Sample Survey. *Economic and Political Weekly, 42*(41), 4146–4153.

Nancharaiah, G. (2022). *B. R. Ambedkar, economic development and Dalits in post-independence India*. Routledge.

Omvedt, G. (1994). *Dalits and the democratic revolution: Dr. Ambedkar and the Dalit movement in colonial India*. Sage Publications.

Pai, S. (2002). *Dalit assertion: The role of the Dalit movement in India*. Oxford University Press.

Thorat, S., Madheswaran, S., & Vani, B. P. (2023). *Scheduled castes in the Indian labour market: Employment discrimination and its impact on poverty*. Oxford University Press.